UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,020	09/20/2006	Toshio Goto	278709US26XPCT	3818
22850 7590 04/14/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			AHMED, SHAMIM	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1792		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/550,020	GOTO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Shamim Ahmed	1792		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period is Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 D	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are withdresses Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,8-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	awn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any accomplication and accomplication and accomplication and accomplication and accomplication are declarated as a specific and accomplication are declarated as a specific accomplication accomplication as a specific accomplication are declarated as a specific accomplication and accomplication are declarated as a specific accomplication and accomplication are declarated as a specific accomplication and accomplication are declarated as a specific accomplication and accomplication accomplication as a specific accomplication asp	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/550,020 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsukasa et al (JP2001-237212 A).

Tsukasa et al disclose a process of surface flattening of a semiconductor device substrate including the step performing plasma processing while supplying liquid chemical such as pure water onto the substrate (see the abstract and the paragraphs 0014, 0015 and 0018 of the translated version of the reference).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,020 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsukasa et al (JP2001-237212 A) as supported by Okudaira et al (4,705,595).

Tsukasa et al discusses above in the paragraph 3 but fails to explicitly teach that the plasma includes radicals, positive or negative ions based on the plasma are selectively supplied to the substrate.

However, it would have been obvious to include radicals, positive or negative ions in Tsukasa et al's plasma because by definition, plasma includes ions and radicals as supported by Okudaira et al.

Okudaira et al illustrates that an etching process by <u>plasma</u>, it is known that "ions" in <u>plasma</u> and "radicals which are neutral particles, but also are reactive species" usually react with the sample (col.3, lines 65-col.4, lines 5).

As to claim 5, it is obvious that radicals are supplied with high-speed in a plasma etching process as neutral radicals has slow reaction if only neutral radicals are present as suggested by Okudaira et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,020 Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

7. Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsukasa et al (JP2001-237212 A) in view of Ito et al (5,270,259) and Demmin et al (6,635,185).

Tsukasa et al discusses above in the paragraph 3 but fails to teach the claimed pressure range during the process.

However, Ito et al teach the typical pressure range is 1-5 Torr of oxygen plasma during ashing process (col.1, lines 65-col.2, lines 1-5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to employ Ito et al's teaching to optimize the same in the method of Tsukasa et al as additionally taught by Demmin et al, wherein, Demmin et al teaches, beginning at col.7, line 15:

As is well known, there are many operating conditions of 25 a plasma etching process that can have an effect on the results obtained. These conditions include, for example, the type of plasma etching (for example, reactive ion etching, plasma etching, and high-density etching), etching composition flow rate, wafer temperature, pressure, power, time, 20 and bias. The interrelationship of these parameters is a function of the hardware configuration and the material being etched. One skilled in the art of plasma etching and cleaning can vary these parameters accordingly to etch a desired material satisfactorily. Exemplary operating condi-25

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to optimize the same in the method of Tsukasa et al because Demmin teaches that changing the parameters according to the material being etched appears to reflect a result-effective variable which can be optimized. See MPEP 2144.05 IIB.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shamim Ahmed whose telephone number is (571) 272-1457. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri (12:30-10:30) Every Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G. Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shamim Ahmed/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792